

AF



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

Applicant(s): Leonard Bayer et al.

Serial No.: 09/630,422

Confirmation No. 5939

Filed: August 01, 2000

For: SYSTEM FOR PROTECTING INFORMATION OVER THE INTERNET

Examiner: Moorthy, Aravind K.

Art Unit: 2131

Atty Docket: HAR-002CV

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

11 Coker
7/30/06
by

RESPONSE TO ADVISORY ACTION DATED 5/4/06

Dear Sir:

Applicants have reviewed the Advisory Action and request reconsideration of the claims in view of the following.

1) The Examiner states that "Okamoto [US 5,944,794] teaches limiting a user to content information" since the "user is limited by access rules (i.e. time of day)". It thus follows that once access is permitted, the content can be displayed on the user's computer. When such content is so displayed, the user interface (e.g., keyboard or mouse) of such computer in Okamoto is not prevented from copying the information (e.g., such as a print screen key, right mouse button, screen scraper, or the like). Unlike Okamoto, Claim 1 describes "limiting the user interface of the second computer system to operate responsive to the user of the second computer system to prevent copying of the content information when said received content information is being displayed". Thus Okamoto cannot anticipate Claim 1.

2) The Examiner states that "Okamoto teaches that if the user is not authenticated then the user's request to decrypt the content is ignored". The issue is not whether the user is authenticated or not to decrypt, the issue is how does the user's computer operate in Okamoto